

D. GILL SPERLEIN, SBN 172887
 THE LAW OFFICE OF D. GILL SPERLEIN
 345 Grove Street
 San Francisco, CA 94102
 Telephone: (415) 404-6615
 Facsimile: (415) 404-6616
gill@sperleinlaw.com

LAWRENCE G. WALTERS, FL SBN 776599 (*pro hac vice*)
 WALTERS LAW GROUP
 195 West Pine Ave.
 Longwood, FL 32750
 Telephone: (407) 975-9105
larry@firstamendment.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs
 OXANE "GYPSY" TAUB and GEORGE DAVIS

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

<p>OXANE "GYPSY" TAUB and GEORGE DAVIS,</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">Plaintiffs,</p> <p style="padding-left: 80px;">v.</p> <p>CITY and COUNTY of SAN FRANCISCO and the SAN FRANCISCO POLICE DEPARTMENT</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">Defendants</p>	<p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p>	<p>Case № 3:12-cv-05841-EMC (NJV)</p> <p>STIPULATED REQUEST TO ENTER FINAL ORDER</p>
--	--	--

WHEREAS, the Court previously Granted Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' original Complaint and denied Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction based on that Complaint, [January 29, 2013, Dkt. No. 26], and Granted Defendants' Motion to dismiss Plaintiffs' claims against the City and County of San Francisco and the San Francisco Police Department for Restriction of Core Political Speech, Prior Restraint, Compelled Speech, Vagueness, and Claim for

1 Injunctive Relief/Declaratory Judgment pled in Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint on December
2 24, 2014. (Dkt. No. 104);

3 **WHEREAS**, the Plaintiffs previously withdrew their claim against the City and County of
4 San Francisco and the San Francisco Police Department based on the right to petition;

5 **WHEREAS**, Plaintiffs only remaining claim against the City and County of San Francisco
6 and the San Francisco Police Department is a claim for unconstitutional viewpoint discrimination;

7 **WHEREAS**, the Parties have reached a Settlement Agreement settling Plaintiffs' claim
8 against the City and County of San Francisco and the San Francisco Police Department for
9 unconstitutional viewpoint discrimination;

10 **WHEREAS**, under the Settlement Agreement, the Parties stipulated to the entry of a Final
11 Order disposing of this case, and reserving the rights of Plaintiffs to appeal to the Ninth Circuit Court
12 of Appeals the causes of action the Court dismissed on December 24, 2014, including the Court's
13 conclusion that Plaintiffs cannot obtain injunctive relief;

14 **THEREFORE**, the Parties do thereby **STIPULATE and AGREE** that the Court enter a
15 final, appealable order in the form attached hereto as Exhibit 1.

16 **IT IS SO STIPULATED AND AGREED.**

17 Dated: 6/15/2015

18 By: /s/ D. Gill Sperlein

19 D. GILL SPERLEIN

20 THE LAW OFFICE OF D. GILL SPERLEIN

21 LAWRENCE G. WALTERS, *pro hac vice*
22 WALTERS LAW GROUP

23 Attorney for Plaintiffs
24 Oxane "Gypsy" Taub and George Davis

Dated: 6/15/2015

DENNIS J. HERRERA
City Attorney

By: /s/ Tara M. Steeley
TARA M. STEELEY

Attorneys for Defendants
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,
SAN FRANCISCO POLICE DEPARTMENT

WALTERS LAW GROUP
195 WEST PINE AVENUE, LONGWOOD, FL 32750
407-975-9150

THE LAW OFFICE OF D. GILL SPERLEIN
345 GROVE STREET, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102
415-404-6615

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

**OXANE “GYPSY” TAUB and GEORGE
DAVIS,**

Plaintiffs,

v.

**CITY and COUNTY of SAN
FRANCISCO and the SAN
FRANCISCO POLICE DEPARTMENT**
Defendants

Case № 3:12-cv-05841-EMC (NJV)

**STIPULATED [PROPOSED] FINAL
ORDER**

The Court has reviewed the Stipulated Request to Enter Final Order disposing of this case. It appearing to the satisfaction of the Court that the Parties have settled and dismissed the sole remaining claim for unconstitutional viewpoint discrimination, and have further stipulated that Plaintiffs be permitted to appeal the prior adverse rulings by this Court, it is hereby

ORDERED and ADJUDGED that final judgment be rendered in favor of Defendants and against Plaintiffs. The clerk is directed to close the case file.

IT IS SO ORDERED

Dated: 6/22/15

U.S. District



Copies to: Counsel of Record